

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,134	01/31/2001	Takuro Tamura	07898-067001	7717
38327	7590 09/07/2004	EXAMINER		
REED SMI	TH LLP IEW PARK DRIVE, SUITI	FORMAN, BETTY J		
	JRCH, VA 22042	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\frac{2}{5}$
0)

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/762,134	TAMURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
BJ Forman	1634	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ce), or (3) a timely filed request for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check eit	ther a) or b)]
 a)	(2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and th fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stati (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension utory period for reply originally set in the final Office action or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to a	filed within the period set forth in avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration	n and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form fo issues for appeal; and/or	r appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a correspon	ding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration application in condition for allowance because:	n has been considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not direction.	rected SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected	t be entered or b)⊡ will be entered and an I is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 4-8.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-3.	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b)	disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449	
10. Other:	
	BJ Forman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1634

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The amendments further define the method step of indexing to "coding in the index spots a unique microarray index value, said microarra index value being linked to element information". This further defining step has not previously been considered. Therefore, the amendments would require further search and consideration. Additional amendments to the claims, if entered would overcome the previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Applicant's arguments have been reviewed. Because the arguments address the un-entered amendments, the arguments are deemed moot relative to the outstanding rejections.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER